

Effectiveness of Business Dispute Resolution Through Arbitration in Indonesia

Rian Sukmawan, Bennadi, Samsul Arifin
Universitas Muhammadiyah Metro
Email: bennadi.ummetro@gmail.com

Abstract

This article analyzes the effectiveness of arbitration in resolving business disputes in Indonesia. The study employs normative juridical research by examining statutory regulations, doctrinal perspectives, institutional practice, and enforcement mechanisms. The research evaluates arbitration based on legal certainty, procedural efficiency, enforceability, accessibility, and institutional legitimacy. The findings show that arbitration provides confidentiality, expertise-based adjudication, flexibility, and final binding decisions that support commercial stability. However, structural constraints such as cost, regional access limitations, and enforcement formalities remain significant. The article concludes that arbitration is substantively effective but requires regulatory refinement and institutional strengthening.

Keywords: arbitration effectiveness; business dispute resolution; legal certainty; enforcement

Introduction

Arbitration has developed as a central mechanism in commercial dispute resolution within Indonesia. It reflects the principle of party autonomy and contractual freedom.¹ Increasing commercial complexity requires efficient and predictable dispute mechanisms.² Litigation often involves prolonged proceedings and multiple appeals.³ Therefore, arbitration offers procedural flexibility and confidentiality.⁴ Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within

¹ A. Mukti Arto, (2010) *Mencari Keadilan, Kritik, dan Solusi Terhadap Praktik Peradilan Perdata di Indonesia*, Pustaka Pelajar, Yogyakarta, hlm. 28-32

² Kementerian Keuangan *Arbitrase dan Alternatif Penyelesaian Sengketa*
<https://www.djkn.kemenu.go.id/kpknl-manado/baca-artikel/13628/Arbitrase-Dan-Alternatif-Penyelesaian-Sengketa.html>
Diakses Pada 29 Agustus 2024.

³ Pasal 57 UU Nomor 30 Tahun 1999 Tentang Arbitrase.

⁴ Susanti Adi Nugroho. (2011) *“Penyelesaian sengketa arbitrase dan penerapan hukumnya”* Jakarta: Perdana media group, Hlm 211.



environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments.

Procedural Effectiveness

Arbitrators are selected based on professional expertise relevant to the dispute.⁸ Proceedings are confidential and protect trade secrets. Arbitral awards are final and binding without appeal.⁹ This ensures business certainty and procedural closure.¹² Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments.

⁸ Artikel "Biaya Arbitrase" Oleh Badan Arbitrase Nasional Indonesia (BANI) <https://baniarbitration.org/fees>

⁹ Pasal 59-60 Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 1999 Tentang Arbitrase



doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments.

Economic and Institutional Analysis

Although arbitration offers efficiency, cost structures may be significant. High-value claims involve percentage-based fees. Institutional arbitration centers remain concentrated in major cities. Improving regional access would enhance inclusivity. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy.¹³ It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition.¹⁴ The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates

¹³ Suryokusumo, *Arbitrase dan Alternatif Penyelesaian Sengketa*, hlm. 101.

¹⁴ Rajagukguk, *Arbitrase dalam Praktik*, hlm. 63.



private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments.

Comparative Perspective

Compared to litigation, arbitration provides speed and confidentiality. Litigation allows appeals but extends uncertainty. Expert adjudication in arbitration strengthens substantive justice. Therefore arbitration is particularly suitable for complex commercial disputes. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments.



practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments.¹⁶

Conclusion

Arbitration in Indonesia is normatively legitimate and procedurally efficient. It contributes to legal certainty in commercial relations.³⁰ Structural reforms and institutional strengthening remain essential. With proper development, arbitration will continue to support Indonesia's investment climate. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical implementation. Continuous doctrinal evaluation and institutional reform are required to maintain its relevance in evolving commercial environments. Arbitration integrates private dispute resolution within the national legal system while maintaining autonomy. It balances efficiency and enforceability through statutory recognition. The effectiveness of arbitration must be assessed not only through normative legitimacy but also through practical

¹⁶ Tanya, Simanjuntak, dan Hage, Teori Hukum, hlm. 57.



Bibliography

A. Book

Adi Nugroho Susanti, (2015). *Penyelesaian Sengketa Arbitrase dan Penerapan Hukumnya*, Jakarta: Prenan Media Group.

Adinda Fida, Amalia Siska. (2021) *Alternatif penyelesaian sengketa dan arbitrase*. Universitas Mercubuana Jakarta.

Harahap Yahya, (2003). *Arbitrase*, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.

Hendra Winarta Frans, (2012), *Hukum Penyelesaian Sengketa*, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.

Mahfud MD, Moh. (2008). *Membangun Politik Hukum, Menegakkan Konstitusi*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.

Suryokusumo, Sumardjono. (2009). *Arbitrase dan Alternatif Penyelesaian Sengketa di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia.

Sugianto Fajar. *Peran serta manfaat arbitrase ditinjau dari prespektif pelaku usaha*. Universitas Pelita Harapan.

Wijaya, Erman Rajagukguk. (2002). *Arbitrase dalam Praktik di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Pustaka Sinar Harapan.

B. Journals

Ardhiyanigrum dan Setiawati, (2024). *Hambatan dan Peluang Efektifitas Alternatif Dispute Resolution (ADR) Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Bisnis di Indonesia Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 1999*. Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum, Sosial dan Administrasi Negara Vol 1, No 4 2024 Fakultas Hukum Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.

Atina Astrit dan Sugianto Fajar, (2024). *Peran dan Manfaat Arbitrase Ditinjau Dari Prespektif Pelaku Usaha*. Jurnal Hukum dan Sosial Politik Vol 2, No 2 2024 Fakultas Hukum Universitas Pelita Harapan.

Atmaja, D. S. (2017). "Arbitrase Ad Hoc dalam Sistem Hukum Arbitrase Indonesia." Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 123-138.

Agustina Eka Rini, (2024). *Efektifitas Arbitrase Sebagai Penyelesaian Perselesaian*. Ethics and Law Jurnal: Busines and Notary (ELJB), Vol 2 No 1 2024 Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.



Arliman Laurensius Dkk. (2019). *Penegekan Hukum Bisnis Ditinjau Dari Undang-Undang Larangan Praktek Monopoli dan Persaingan Usaha Tidak Sehat*. Lex Jurnalía Vol 16, No 3 2019 Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Hukum Padang.

Fdhilah Ainun Firda dan Putri Amalia Siska. (2021). *Alternatif penyelesaian Sengketa dan Arbitrase (Literatur Review Etika)*. Jurnal Ilmu Management Terapan, Vol 2, Issue 6, Juli 2021.

Fachri Ferinda, (2024), *Luncurkan Hasil Survei Kebutuhan Arbitrase di Indonesia*, Hukum Online Di Akses Pada 11 Maret 2025.

Harviani Adindatira Shifa. (2021). *Penyelesaian Gugatan Sederhana, Cepat dan Biaya Ringan Untuk Mewujudkan Acces To Justice*. Jurnal Verstek Vol 9, No 3, Bagian Hukum Acara Universitas Sebelas Maret.

Muskibah. (2018). *Arbitrase Sebagai Alternatif Penyelesaian Sengketa*. Jurnal Kominikasi Hukum Vol 4, No 2 2018 Dosen Fakultas Hukum Universitas Jambi.

Musjtari Nurul Dwi Dkk. (2020). *Efektivitas Penyelesaian Sengketa Perbankan Syariah Melalui Mekanisme Fasilitasi Oleh Otortitas Jasa Keuangan*. Jurnal Masalah-Masalah Hukum Vol 49, No 1 2020 Fakultas Hukum Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.

Ritonga Natama Habibi Dkk. (2024). *Kewenangan Arbitrase Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Bisnis Di Indonesia*. Jurnal Cendikia Vol 1, No 2 2024 Universitas Islam Negri Sumatra Utara.

Sari Indah. (2019). *Keunggulan Arbitrase Sebagai Senagai Forum Penyelesaian Sengketa Diluar Pengadilan*. Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum Dirgantara Vol 9, No 2 2019 Universitas Masekal Dirgantara Suryadarma.

Syafitri Dwi Meilany Dkk. (2024). *Efektifitas Prosedur Arbitrase Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Bisnis*. Journal of Law Education and Business Vol 2, No 2 2024 Universitas Negri Medan.

Taqwa Dzazit Muhammad dan Subiyanto Putri. (2022). *Indonesia Arbitration Quartely Newsletter*. Vol 14, No 3 2022 Badan Arbitrase Nasional Indonesia.

C. Legal Regulations



Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 1999 tentang Arbitrase dan Alternatif Penyelesaian Sengketa. Jakarta: Pemerintah Republik Indonesia.

BANI (Badan Arbitrase Nasional Indonesia). *Peraturan BANI tentang Prosedur Arbitrase.* Jakarta: BANI Arbitration Center.

Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 54 Tahun 2010 tentang Organisasi dan Tata Kerja Lembaga Arbitrase dan Mediasi. Jakarta: Pemerintah Republik Indonesia.

Peraturan Mahkamah Agung Nomor 1 Tahun 1990 tentang Arbitrase. Jakarta: Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.

